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Abstract

Interventional pain physicians are in a unique place to take advantage of regenerative medicine technology to improve patient outcomes and decrease the invasiveness of orthopedic procedural care. However, that sea of change would take significant changes to the educational system similar to those established when interventional spine was first introduced as a subspecialty. The tenets of interventional orthopedics are as follows: injectates that can facilitate healing of musculoskeletal tissues, precise placement of those injectates into damaged structures using imaging guidance, and the eventual development of new tools to facilitate percutaneous tissue manipulation. Stem cells are an early injectate being used in this developing field. The research supporting the use of stem cells to treat orthopedic conditions is more robust than many realize. Early clinical work to treat osteonecrosis and fracture nonunion began in the 1990s. Today, early clinical evidence to support the use of bone marrow concentrate to treat knee osteoarthritis and other orthopedic conditions exists and continues to develop. Although more research needs to be completed, the increased availability of biologic agents that can prompt healing in musculoskeletal tissues would usher in a new field of medicine—interventional orthopedics.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Background

It has been observed that the use of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and stem cells is rapidly expanding. This use is likely altering the orthopedic care landscape in disruptive ways. In addition, interventional pain physicians have unique skills that would allow them to take advantage of this technology to decrease the invasiveness of orthopedic procedural care. However, that shift away from surgical orthopedics to interventional care would take significant changes to the educational system similar to those established for interventional spine. Finally, new technologies that improve the tissue healing ability of injectates and allow percutaneous manipulation of tissue would broaden nonsurgical care options.

In 1989, the coronary artery bypass graft rate for coronary artery disease was 141/100,000. By 2015, it was 60/100,000, a 59% drop. The likely reason for the dramatic reduction in cardiothoracic surgery rates is the adoption of interventional cardiology, allowing less invasive ways to restore normal coronary circulation. We are poised on the brink of the same change in orthopedic care.

Autologous biologics include PRP and stem cell therapies. PRP contains numerous cytokines that degranulate from whole platelets to enhance tissue repair. Early clinical studies in the use of PRP for orthopedic conditions have shown promise in treating epicondylitis, achilles tendinitis, and knee osteoarthritis (OA). Another category within autologous biologics includes stem cell therapies. The most
common type deployed in orthopedics is the isolation of the centrifuged bone marrow fraction that contains mesenchymal and hematopoietic stem cells, otherwise known as bone marrow concentrate (BMC) or bone marrow aspirate concentrate.\textsuperscript{8}

**The status of BMC research**

The field of autologous biologics has the potential to alter the playing field of orthopedic care by allowing percutaneous injections to replace the need for more invasive orthopedic surgeries. Take, for example, the use of BMC. As of 4/3/16, the total number of all patients who have been treated for orthopedic conditions for any type of bone marrow stem cell therapy and had their results (outcomes or adverse events) published and listed in the US Library of Medicine is 8428.\textsuperscript{13} The disease areas with most outcome and complications information published are hip osteonecrosis and knee OA (Tables 1 and 2). Other studies have been published for hip OA, shoulder rotator cuff, lumbar degenerative disk disease, and ankle OA.\textsuperscript{14-17}

The commonality among many of the studies being published in this area of autologous biologics is that many involve peripheral joint injection with or without surgery. For example, in the review of bone marrow stem cell therapy cited earlier, more than a third of the studies were injection alone (28/77) and more than half were surgery and injection (39/77). This is likely owing to just injecting and infiltrating the damaged orthopedic tissue such as tendon, ligament, cartilage, or bone may help enhance healing, leaving many types of orthopedic conditions treatable without surgery.

The first studies of the clinical effects of BMC on hip osteonecrosis and fracture nonunion were published in the 1990s. The largest study (n = 342) demonstrated that osteonecrotic ARCO grade 1-2 hips demonstrated approximately an 80% likelihood of not requiring arthroplasty at 10-year follow-up when treated with a percutaneous injection of BMC.\textsuperscript{18} In the largest case series of fracture nonunion, 53/60 patients treated with surgical grafts containing BMC had healed at 4 months. The 7 patients who did not heal, had the lowest concentration of stem cells.\textsuperscript{19}

For knee OA, several small randomized controlled trials using autologous and allogeneic culture expanded mesenchymal stem cells have been published\textsuperscript{20-24} (Table 1). These studies all demonstrated satisfactory clinical results with the Vagness study demonstrating that 1 in 4 patients with an increase in meniscus volume and the Vega study showing improvement in magnetic resonance imaging measured cartilage signal.\textsuperscript{20,23} The authors large case series using BMC also reported promising outcomes with the additional finding that

### Table 1 – Summary of research articles on the use of bone marrow MSCs for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis. (Reproduced with permission from The Centeno-Schultz clinic.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Patient no</th>
<th>Stem cell origin</th>
<th>Functional improvement</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vagness</td>
<td>DB RCT</td>
<td>Partial meniscectomy with MSC vs Placebo</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Allogenic cultured BMA MSC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1/4 Patients with increased meniscus volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centeno</td>
<td>Prospective case series</td>
<td>Precise image-guided injection</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>Bone marrow concentrate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2/3 of patients were TKA candidates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim</td>
<td>Prospective case series</td>
<td>Injection</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Auto cultured BMA MSC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Full-thickness chondral lesions &lt; 6 cm\textsuperscript{7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vega</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Injection of MSC vs HA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Allogenic cultured BMA MSC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Improved cartilage signal on MRI T2 mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koh</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Knee MFX or stem cells vs MFX</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Auto cultured adipose MSC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Better lesion coverage or MRI signal. No change on second-look arthroscopy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMA, bone marrow aspirate; DB, double-blind; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MFX, microfracture; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; RCT, randomized controlled trial; TKA, total knee arthroplasty.

### Table 2 – Summary of research articles on the use of bone marrow MSCs for the treatment of hip osteoarthritis. (Reproduced with permission from The Centeno-Schultz clinic.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Patient no</th>
<th>Stem cell origin</th>
<th>Functional improvement</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centeno</td>
<td>Prospective case series</td>
<td>Precise image-guided injection</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>Bone marrow concentrate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Most patients were THA candidates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emadedin</td>
<td>Prospective case series</td>
<td>Image guided injection</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Culture expanded BM MSC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Severity unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BM, bone marrow; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; THA, total hip arthroplasty.
adding an adipose graft to BMC did not improve patient reported outcome over just injecting BMC alone.22

The efficacy regarding the use of BMC in other peripheral joints has also been published. For example, 2 articles exist in the literature on hip OA 22–23 (Table 2), both showing reasonable efficacy. Similarly, multiple articles exist suggesting that BMC or culture expanded mesenchymal stem cells may reduce symptoms and improve the appearance of the intervertebral disk in degenerative disk disease 14,26–28 (Table 3).

What is interventional orthopedics?

Recently, one of the authors (C.C.) coined a term that borrowed from our colleagues in cardiology that is based on the idea that when new technology is introduced, surgical disciplines get morphed into percutaneous interventional specialties. That term is “interventional orthopedics” (IO). At its core, IO is the use of ever more sophisticated injectates and tools to allow orthopedic conditions once treated through surgical means to be treated less invasively using percutaneous injections. The core tenets of this new medical specialty are:

1. Injectates that can facilitate healing of bone, tendon, ligament, muscle, or cartilage;
2. Precise placement of those injectates into damaged structures using imaging guidance; and
3. The eventual development of new tools to facilitate percutaneous tissue manipulation.

Although physicians who are trained in interventional spine care understand how to inject many of the component parts of the spine with fluoroscopic guidance, much of orthopedic care includes peripheral joint injuries.29,30 However, we have observed that scarce attention is paid in the curriculum of interventional spine fellowships to education on peripheral joint injection. Hence, educational changes are likely needed for IO to realize its full potential.

IO requires the ability to accurately place injectates into parts of the peripheral joints that are not readily visible under fluoroscopy. For example, ultrasound is superior for imaging of the soft tissues such as tendons, ligaments, and muscles.31,32

However, in our experience, very few interventional spine fellowships provide extensive training in peripheral joint ultrasound.

Yet another aspect of treating peripheral joints is a solid understanding of their biomechanics, injury mechanisms, and evidence-based treatments available. Although interventional spine fellowships may focus on these issues for the spine, they do not generally cover these areas of study for peripheral joints. These topics are more commonly taught in a sports medicine fellowship.33 In addition, although an interventional spine fellowship is fluoroscopically centric, a sports medicine fellowship is commonly ultrasound centric.34,35

Pain management skills are critical for IO

Our team has been working on the treatment of knee anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) tears with BMC for many years. We have published an early case series using computerized magnetic resonance imaging analysis of the ligament that showed promising objective evidence of changes in imaging consistent with healing.35 The technique for cell placement has evolved through our many years of study on this topic (Figure 1).

First, although ACL reconstruction has been the gold standard for the treatment of symptomatic, high-grade ACL tear, it is a procedure with many issues. Because of the reconstruction, the original ACL is detached and the tendon graft is placed at a more vertical angle. This does not prevent the anterior motion of the tibia on the femur with the same efficiency as the original ACL. This extra movement can increase the likelihood of OA.36 For example, a recent research investigation found that 67% of teens (age 10-16 years) who underwent ACL reconstruction surgery had imaging signs of OA by 30 years of age.37 In addition, in procedures where an autograft is used, the muscle from which the tendon graft is harvested usually does not regain normal strength. For example, a recent study of hamstring allograft ACL procedures demonstrated that 25% of patients had shortening of the hamstring, whereas more than 30% had weakness in the muscle, and 10%-40% reported atrophy.38 These strength deficits may lead to increased tibial rotation with reduced neuromuscular control during pivoting sports or landing, increasing load on hyaline cartilage, and thus increasing the risk of reinjury. Hence, if it were possible to retain the original ACL by healing the tear in situ, many of these issues may be avoided.

Table 3 – Summary of research articles on the use of bone marrow MSCs for the treatment of degenerative disk disease. (Reproduced with permission from The Centeno-Schultz clinic.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Patient no</th>
<th>Stem cell origin</th>
<th>Functional improvement</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mochida</td>
<td>Prospective case series</td>
<td>Surgical implant</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Auto nucleus pulposis cells</td>
<td>No. Minimal MRI improvement.</td>
<td>Safety study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pettine</td>
<td>Prospective case series</td>
<td>Injection into IVD</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Bone marrow concentrate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Possible changes in MRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pang</td>
<td>Prospective case series</td>
<td>Surgical implantation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Allogenic cord blood MSC’s</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orozco</td>
<td>Prospective case series</td>
<td>Injection into IVD</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Auto culture expanded BM MSC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No improvement in disk height, some change in T2 signal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BM, bone marrow; IVD, intervertebral disk; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell.
We initially attempted the use of ultrasound and fluoroscopy, trying to find the best technology to accurately place the injectate into as much of the torn ligament as feasible. The limitation of ultrasound is that it only demonstrates the distal insertion of the ACL (Figure 2). The origin of the ligament in the lateral trochlear groove is not visualized. In addition, using fluoroscopic injection techniques with contrast, we have demonstrated that the posterior aspect of the synovial sheath of many injured ligaments is not intact, leading to extravasation of injectate through a posterior tear that seems common in these injuries (Figure 3). It would then reason that this reduces the likelihood of the autologous biologic being able to traverse this area and infiltrate into the proximal fibers at the lateral trochlear groove to the point of the bony origin. Hence, we have developed an injection technique that also catches the ligament superiorly at its origin. As discussed, ultrasound is unable to adequately image this area. In addition, in this ligament, ultrasound provides scarce data on how much of the ACL ligament has been covered with injectate, although fluoroscopy can easily document this through contrast spread.

Another complicating factor in injecting ACLs is that there are 2 bundles of the ligament—the anterior-medial and...
posterior-lateral. This 2-bundle system adds rotational stability in addition to anterior-posterior stability. This complexity of the anatomy also adds to the complexity of the injection technique in that both bundles need to be injected with adequate documentation of that coverage.

т

In summary, the knee ACL is an example of how the fluoroscopy guidance skills acquired in a traditional sports medicine or pain management training programs can be applied in IO. Conversely, this thought exercise also flows in the opposite direction. For example, although ultrasound can be used to perform simple epidural injections in the spine, it is incapable of following the injectate behind bony structures such as the lamina or pedicle, which may result in suboptimal injectate placement. Hence, for IO to achieve its lofty goal of becoming a new medical specialty, both technologies need to be combined or each used for its strengths.

Can we enhance pain management practice with IO?

As discussed, ultrasound skills for peripheral joint injections allow much more accurate placement of injectate into areas such as tendon, where the structure can be directly observed (Figure 4). Hence, it would seem that ultrasound training is a key component of IO and that adding this component to existing pain management and sport medicine fellowships would, therefore, be helpful. In addition, IO fellows would need exposure and training to peripheral joint physical examination and the knowledge of the evidence base for orthopedic medicine and surgery.

IO: future directions

If the past is prologue, less invasive percutaneous procedures that are capable of delivering biologics capable of healing to specific areas of injury in the musculoskeletal system that offer equal or better outcomes as traditional surgical procedures with less complications should gradually replace many elective orthopedic procedures. Already, the evidence base for orthopedic surgery is, as an author puts it as “scandalously poor.” Hence, IO can find its place through continued publication of high-level research that shows superior outcomes when surgery is avoided.

In addition, although the early efforts of the rapidly growing specialty include the precise placement of autologous biologics, the future is likely to see many more types of injectates as well as new tools to manipulate tissue. For example, recombinant growth factors may help to speed the work of autologous biologics or act on their own to facilitate tissue healing. Genetically engineered, mass-produced cells with superior healing properties may well one day replace autologous tissues. In addition, the ability to bring tissue together through a percutaneous procedure and adhere it, would revolutionize the field. One could also easily imagine the percutaneous placement of anchors and other implantable devices that would allow IO to compete directly with surgical orthopedics for many applications.

In summary, the field of IO is being spontaneously created owing to changes in technology and the need for nonsurgical alternatives. Although organization of the physicians trained to provide this care is needed as well as retraining and educational standards, the landscape of orthopedic care is being dramatically altered in the process. As Heraclitus once said, “There is nothing permanent except change.”
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